IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

JON H. WARD

Plaintiff, Case No. 3:08-cv-131

vs. Judge Thomas M. Rose

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security, Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

Defendant.

ENTRY AND ORDER OVERRULING WARD'S OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. #12); ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. #11) IN ITS ENTIRETY; AFFIRMING THE COMMISSIONER'S DECISION THAT WARD WAS NOT DISABLED AND TERMINATING THIS CASE

Plaintiff Jon H. Ward ("Ward") has brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of the final decision of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security (the "Commissioner") denying his application for Social Security benefits. On March 2, 2009, United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz filed a Report and Recommendations (doc. #11) recommending that the Commissioner's decision that Ward was not disabled and, therefore, not entitled to benefits under the Social Security Act be affirmed. Ward subsequently filed Objections (doc. #12). The time has run and the Commissioner has not responded to Ward's objections.

Based upon the reasoning and citations of authority set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (doc. #11) and in Ward's Objections (doc. #12), as well as upon a

thorough de novo review of this Court's file, including the Administrative Transcript, and a thorough review of the applicable law, this Court adopts the aforesaid Report and Recommendations in its entirety and, in so doing, affirm's the Commissioner's decision that Ward is not disabled and is, therefore, not entitled to Social Security benefits. Finally, Ward's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations are overruled.

This Court's function is to determine whether the record as a whole contains substantial evidence to support the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ's") decision. *Bowen v.*Commissioner of Social Security, 478 F.3d 742, 745-46 (6th Cir. 2007). This court must also determine whether the ALJ applied the correct legal criteria. *Id*.

Regarding the substantial evidence requirement, the Commissioner's findings must be affirmed if they are supported by "such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion." *Richardson v. Perales*, 402 U.S. 389, 401 (1971), citing *Consolidated Edison Company v. NLRB*, 305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938); *Landsaw v. Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 803 F.2d 211, 213 (6th Cir. 1986). Substantial evidence means such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion. *Richardson, supra*, at 401; *Ellis v. Schweicker*, 739 F.2d 245, 248 (6thCir. 1984). Substantial evidence is more than a mere scintilla, but only so much as would be required to prevent a directed verdict (now judgment as a matter of law) against the Commissioner if this case were being tried to a jury. *Foster v. Bowen*, 853 F.2d 483, 486 (6th Cir. 1988); *NLRB v. Columbian Enameling and Stamping Company*, 306 U.S. 292, 300 (1939).

The second judicial inquiry - reviewing the ALJ's legal criteria - may result in reversal even if the record contains substantial evidence supporting the ALJ's factual findings. *See*

Bowen, 478 F.3d at 746. A reversal based on the ALJ's legal criteria may occur, for example,

when the ALJ has failed to follow the Commissioner's "own regulations and where that error

prejudices a claimant on the merits or deprives the claimant of a substantial right. Bowen, 478

F.3d at 746(citing in part Wilson v. Commissioner of Social Security, 378 F.3d 541, 546-47 (6th

Cir. 2004)).

In this case, the Commissioner's decision is supported by substantial evidence. Further,

the Commissioner applied the correct legal criteria when he analyzed treating physician Dr.

Saleh's opinion.

WHEREFORE, based upon the aforesaid, Ward's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's

Report and Recommendations (doc. #12) are OVERRULED, and this Court adopts the Report

and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. #11) in its entirety. The

Commissioner's decision that Ward was not disabled and therefore not entitled to Social

Security benefits is AFFIRMED.

The captioned cause is hereby ordered terminated upon the docket records of

the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division,

at Dayton.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio, this Third day of April, 2009.

s/Thomas M. Rose

JUDGE THOMAS M. ROSE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

3